ORIGINAL



Suzanne Nee

2051 E. Aspen Drive

Telephone: 602-451-0693

Tempe, AZ 85282

5

6 7

38

8 9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16 17

18

19

20 21 22

23

24 25 26

27 28 29

31 32 33

43 44 45

RECEIVED

7014 JAN - 1 P 4 44

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 0 7 2014

DOCKETEDIEN

NZ CORP COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PAYSON WATER CO., INC., AN

ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE

OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND

PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS

WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR

UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

DOCKET NO: W-03514A-13-0111

DOCKET NO: W-03514A-13-0142

OF PAYSON WATER CO., INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR **AUTHORITY TO: (1) ISSUE EVIDENCE** OF INDEBTEDNESS IN AN AMOUNT

NOT TO EXCEED \$1,238,000 IN

CONNECTION WITH INFRASTRUCTURE

IMPROVEMENTS TO THE UTILITY

SYSTEM: AND (2) ENCUMBER REAL

PROPERTY AND PLANT AS SECURITY

FOR SUCH INDEBTEDNESS.

SUPPLEMENT TO PRE-FILED TESTIMONY

Pursuant to the Procedural Order issued on Dec. 9, 2013, Suzanne Nee, "SN", is granted intervention in the above-captioned matter.

Upon additional review of the accounting term "Miscellaneous Expense" which www.allbusiness.com defines as "incidental expense of a business, not classified as manufacturing, selling, or general and administrative expenses. It is presented on an income statement after the operating income. Miscellaneous expenses are immaterial. A more precise designation or separate accounting for them results in a cost greater than the benefit received."

Payson Water Company's Miscellaneous Expenses in Test year 2012 can hardly be considered immaterial or insignificant. The Miscellaneous Expense listed on their Comparative Statement of Income and Expense for 2012 is \$249,525. Their Total Revenues for 2012 were **\$394.908**. Thus, their Miscellaneous Expense is **63.2%** of their Total Revenues. This is hardly immaterial! This is an expense that can't be classified as a manufacturing, selling, or general and administrative expense.

If PWC's Misc. Expense was a more reasonable 2.5% of Total Revenue or $0.025 \times \$394,908 = \$9,872.7$. A more reasonable Total Operating Expenses would then be: \$592,977 - \$249,525 + \$9,872.7 = \$353,324.7.

This reduction in Operating Expenses would turn the **\$198,069 Operating Loss** into a **\$41,583.3 Operating Profit.** The Net **Return on Assets** would then be \$41,583.3/\$739,873 = **5.62%.** See Exhibit A.

If one did a similar computation on Payson Water Company's 2011 Comparative Statement of Income and Expense: $0.025 \times 497,039 = 12,426$. The more reasonable Total Operating Expense would then be: 589,764 - 231,299 + 12,426 = 370,891.

This reduction in 2011 Operating Expenses would turn the \$92,725 Operating Loss into a **\$126,148 Operating Profit**. The Net **Return on Assets** would then be \$126,148/\$906,528 = **13.9%**. **See Exhibit A**.

Return of Assets in the 5.62-13.9% range seems fair and reasonably for the service PWC provides to its customers.

Payson Water Company's Miscellaneous Expense/Total Revenue for the years 2008 through 2012 respectively were: 38.4%, 45.5%, 50%, 46.5%, and 63.2%. I would like to know where this money is going? Why would such large dollar amounts not be accounted for in a different expense account?

Representing Mead Ranch I took an email survey of residents. I only had a fraction of the 69 residents' email addresses, but I did receive 15 completed surveys of the 69 Mead resident, about a 22% response. Of these residents, 8 of 15, or 53.3% indicated that they are retired. The people that I've spoken too are living on tight budgets. **Exhibit B-** Mead Survey.

If residents at Mead Ranch or any of the other communities want a risk free investment, the best rates in Phoenix presently are 0.55%, 1% and 2% for a 1 year, 2 year and 5 year CDs, respectively. **See Exhibit C**.

In comparison to the risks inherent of the water utility business, Returns on Assets of 5.62% to 13.9% are reasonable. Document 00001510671, Exhibit C, shows that Value Line Investment Survey's 2012 Return on Capital for Water Utilities was 6.04% and the 2013 Return on Capital for Water Utilities was 5.69%. Payson Water Company's Revised Returns without the questionable large Miscellaneous Expenses are appropriate with the existing rate structure.

In regards to Payson Water Company's Rejoiner Testimony, document 0000150671, regarding Ms. Reidhead's objecting to a consolidated rate structure and trying to advance the argument for cost of service studies, Mr. Williamson responds on page 13, "It also helps to provide a smoothing effect over discrete cost spikes across the various systems and over time."

This is exactly Ms. Reidhead and my point, that the costs associated with the proposed MdC project's discrete costs WILL be spread over the other communities that will not benefit

1 2 3 4	from such a large expense. Mr. Williamson is agreeing to our position that we, the other communities, will see increases as the MdC Cragin Pipeline costs are "smoothed" over if their billing system remains consolidated. In addition, this is not a manufacturing organization, what would be the economies of scale?
5	Tours so the section of section
6	
7 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32	
33	
34 35	
36	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	

Exhibit A

Acet. No.	OPERATING REVENUES		PF	UOR YEAR	CURRENT YEAR	
461	Metered Water Revenue		S	474.116	\$ 386.877	
460	Unmetered Water Revenue					
474	Other Water Revenues			22.923	8,031	
	TOTAL REVENUES		\$	497,039		
	OPERATING EXPENSES					
601	Salaries and Wages		S	56,886	\$ 55,688	
610	Purchased Water			46.604		
615	Purchased Power			60.782		
618	Chemicals					
620	Repairs and Maintenance			22,692	27,774	
621	Office Supplies and Expense					
630	Outside Services			48,621	67,734	
635	Water Testing			17,916	11.000	
641	Rents					
650	Transportation Expenses					
657	Insurance - General Liability					
659	Insurance - Health and Life			2.614	266	
666	Regulatory Commission Expense - Rate Case					
675	Miscellaneous Expense	12,4	26	231,299	249,525	9872.7
403	Depreciation Expense			777,458		
408	Taxes Other Than Income					
408.11	Property Taxes			24,892	11,127	
409	Income Tax	270	001			
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	370 ,	32I	589,764	592,977	353,324.7
	OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	126,	48	(92,725)	\$ (198,069)	41,583.3

2011 Return on Assets: \$126,148/\$906,528 = 13.9% with lowered Misc. Expense of 2.5% of Total Revenue 2012 Return on Assets: \$41,583.3/\$739,873 = 5.62% with lowered Misc. Expense of 2.5% of Total Revenue

Exhibit B

_
2 3
4
5
7
8
9
11
12
13
15
16
17
19
20
21
23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27
25 26
27

15/69 respondents **21.74%**

	Mead Ranch Em	ail Survey	Nov. 2013	3				
Address	Year Built		Retired	Fixed Income	Vet?	Firefighter	Days/Mont	n For or Opposed Water Rate Increase
166 Mountainview Terrace,	,							
Payson	1960	1970	No	Yes	No		4	Opposed
401 W Old Pine Trl, Payson,	,							
AZ 85541	mid 1950s	1955	Yes	Yes	No		FT	Opposed
	~1970	1972	Yes	Yes	Yes	Volunteer	FT	Opposed
163 Big Juniper Road	1961	1961	Yes	Yes	Yes	Volunteer	10	Opposed
Lot 6	1956	1956	Both	Yes	Yes		2	Opposed
128 Big Juniper Road	~1970	1970	Both	Yes			5.5	Opposed
Lot 20 Big Juniper	1955	1955	No		Yes		4	Opposed
Lot 7, 586 W. Old Pine Trail	1971	1971	yes	yes			FT	Opposed
370 S. Park Rd.	1962	1962	no			Volunteer POC	20	Opposed
283 E. Maynard & Icy Lane	1973	1973	Both	yes	Yes			Opposed
189 Cabin Estates	1987	1987	No	No	No	No	4	Opposed
353 Mountain View Terrace	e 1963	1963	No	No	No	, No	FT	Opposed
410 Old Pine Trail	1959	1959	No		No	No	8	Opposed
186 S. Park Rd.	~1975	1975	Both	Yes	No	No	FT	Opposed
194 Rim Trail	1955		No	No	No	No	4	Opposed
Average Age of Home	1964.8		15 homes	responding to em	nail			

8/15 retired 8/15 **53.33%**

Exhibit C

1 yr CD				2 yr CD			
Institution	APY	Rate	Min Deposit	Institution	APY	Rate	Min Deposit
AmTrust Bank	0.55%	0.55 %	\$500	MidFirst Bank	1.00%	1.00 %	\$1,000
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily			Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	
				a da wa na na na na na		reada Estado E	
MidFirst Bank	0.40%	0.40 %	\$1,000	AmTrust Bank	0.95%	0.95 %	\$500
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily			Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	
gas transfer partial sections			gertagen i gere			elen bereitzigen Bathari	er gertler mi
Bank of America	0.06%	0.06 %	\$1,000	Bank of America	0.15%	0.15 %	\$1,000
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded monthly			Tue Jan 7	Compounded monthly	y
			Basania (1. 1. 1	Barrie in Allegan - 192		PERSON SEEDS OF	
Wells Fargo	0.05%	0.05 %	\$2,500	Wells Fargo	0.15%	0.15 %	\$2,500
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily			Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	
			. Some Supplement	Early and a second program of the second pro		e rarak	
Chase Bank	0.02%	0.02 %	\$1,000	Chase Bank	0.05%	0.05 %	\$1,000
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily			Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	

5 yr CD			
Institution	APY	Rate	Min Deposit
MidFirst Bank	2.00% Tue Jan 7	1.98 % Compounded daily	\$1,000
and the second			
AmTrust Bank	1.35%	1.34 %	\$500
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	
		e i saalija o	
Wells Fargo	0.45%	0.45 %	\$2,500
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded daily	
Chase Bank	0.25%		\$1.000
	Tue Jan 7		
			jan en
Bank of America	0.20%	0.20 %	\$1,000
	Tue Jan 7	Compounded monthly	

	De la Creation 2014	
1	Dated this 7th day of January, 2014	
2 3		•
3 4		()
5		Jusanne My
6		Suzanne Nee, Intervener
7		2051 E. Aspen Drive
8		Tempe, AZ 85282
9		(602)451-0693
10		
11	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies	
12	of the foregoing were filed this 6 th	
13	day of January, 2014 with:	
14		
15	Docket Control	
16	Arizona Corporation Commission	
17	1200 W. Washington Street	
18	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
19		
20	COPY of the foregoing was mailed	
21	this 6 th day of January to:	
22	L Cl. ' CALL	•
23	Jay Shapiro (Attorney for Payson Water Co., Inc.)	
24 25	Fennemore Craig P.C.	
25 26	2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600	
20 27	Phoenix, AZ 85016	
28		
29	Robert Hardcastle	
30	3101 State Rd.	
31	Bakersfield, CA 93308	
32	Bancisia, ar 7000	
33		
34	William Sheppard	
35	6250 North Central Avenue	
36	Phoenix, AZ 85012	
37		
38	Thomas Bremer	
39	6717 E. Turquoise Ave.	
40	Scottsdale, AZ 85253	
41		
42		
43	J. Stephen Gehring & Richard M. Burt	
44	8157 Deadeye Rd.	
45	Payson, AZ 85541	
46		

 Kathleen M. Reidhead 14406 S. Cholla Canyon Dr. Phoenix, AZ 85044

Glynn Ross 405 S. Ponderosa Payson, AZ 85541